

YOEL INBAR

Curriculum Vitae

Mailing Address:	Department of Psychology University of Toronto 1265 Military Trail Toronto, Ontario M1C 1A4, Canada	Phone:	(617) 910-0449
		E-Mail:	yoel.inbar@utoronto.ca
		Website:	http://yoelinbar.net

Employment

2014-present: Assistant Professor, Department of Psychology, University of Toronto.

2010-2014: Assistant Professor, Department of Social Psychology, Tilburg University.

2012-2013: Visiting Assistant Professor, OPIM Department, The Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania.

2008-2010: Post-Doctoral Fellow, Harvard Decision Science Laboratory, Harvard University.

Education

Ph.D., Social and Personality Psychology, Cornell University, August 2008. Primary advisor: Thomas Gilovich.

B.A., Psychology (with honors), University of California at Berkeley, 2000.

Grants, Fellowships, and Honors

Insight Development Grant (\$38,200). *Moral language across the political spectrum*. Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada, 2017-2019.

Insight Grant (\$71,106). *Moralized attitudes towards genetically engineered food*. Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada, 2017-2020.

Research Competitiveness Fund (\$10,000). *Moral rhetoric across the political spectrum*. University of Toronto Scarborough, 2016.

Connaught New Researcher Award (\$10,000). *Moralized opposition to genetically modified food*. University of Toronto, 2015.

Center for Public Leadership Research Grant (\$10,000). *The sunk-cost bias in leadership decision making*. Harvard University, 2009.

Research Fellowship. Harmony Institute, New York, NY, 2016.

Sage Graduate Research Fellowship. Cornell University, 2003-2004, 2007-2008.

Distinction in General Scholarship. University of California at Berkeley, 2000.

Journal Articles

Kovacheff C., Schwartz, S., Inbar, Y., & Feinberg, M. (2018). The problem with morality: Impeding progress and increasing divides. *Social Issues and Policy Review*, 12, 218-257.

Evers, E. R. K., Inbar, Y., Blanken, I., & Oosterwijk, L. D. (2017). When do people prefer carrots to sticks? A robust “matching effect” in policy evaluation. *Management Science*, 63, 4261-4276.

Crawford, J. T., Brandt, M. J., Inbar, Y., Chambers, J. R., & Motyl, M. (2017). Social and economic ideologies differentially predict prejudice across the political spectrum, but social issues are most divisive. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 112, 383-412.

Crawford, J. T., Brandt, M. J., Inbar, Y., & Mallinas, S. R. (2016). Right-Wing Authoritarianism predicts prejudice equally toward “gay men and lesbians” and “homosexuals.” *Journal of Personality and*

Social Psychology, 111, e31-e45.

- Inbar, Y. (2016). Association between “contextual dependence” and replicability in psychology may be spurious. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, doi: 10.1073/pnas.1608676113
- Inbar, Y., Scott, S. E., & Rozin, P. (2016). Gray and Schein’s (2016) objections are theoretically and statistically faulty. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 11, 330-332.
- Inbar, Y., & Pizarro, D. A. (2016). “Pathogens and politics: Current research and new questions.” *Personality and Social Psychology Compass*, 10, 365-374.
- Inbar, Y., Westgate, E. C., Pizarro, D. A., & Nosek, B. A. (2016). Can a naturally-occurring pathogen threat change social attitudes? Evaluations of gay men and lesbians during the 2014 Ebola epidemic. *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, 7, 420-427.
- Scott, S. E., Inbar, Y., & Rozin, P. (2016). Evidence for absolute moral opposition to genetically modified food in the United States. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 11, 315-324.
- Tybur, J. M., Inbar, Y., et al. (2016). Parasite stress and pathogen avoidance relate to distinct dimensions of political ideology across 30 nations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 113, 12408-12413.
- Brenner, C. J., & Inbar, Y. (2015). Disgust sensitivity predicts political ideology and policy attitudes in the Netherlands. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 45, 27-38.
- Colombo, M., Bucher, L., & Inbar, Y. (2015). Explanatory judgment, moral offense and value-free science. *Review of Philosophy and Psychology*, 1-21.
- Inbar, Y., & Lammers, J. (2015). Increasing ideological tolerance in social psychology [Peer commentary on “Political diversity will improve social psychological science” by J. L. Duarte et al.], *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 38, 29-30.
- Tybur, J.M., Inbar, Y., Molho, C., & Güler, E. (2015). Is the relationship between pathogen avoidance and ideological conservatism explained by sexual strategies? *Evolution and Human Behavior*, 36, 489-497.
- Tybur, J. M., Inbar, Y., Güler, E., & Molho, C. (2015). Pathogen disgust requires no defense: A response to Shook, Terrizzi, Clay, & Oosterhoff (2015). *Evolution and Human Behavior*, 36, 502-504.
- Crawford, J., Inbar, Y., & Maloney, V. (2014). Disgust sensitivity selectively predicts attitudes toward groups that threaten (or uphold) traditional sexual morality. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 70, 218-223.
- Evers, E. R. K., Inbar, Y., & Zeelenberg, M. (2014). Set-fit effects in choice. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 143, 504-509.
- Inbar, Y., & Pizarro, D. A. (2014). Disgust, politics, and responses to threat [Peer commentary on “Differences in negativity bias underlie variations in political ideology” by J. R. Hibbing, K. B. Smith, & J. R. Alford]. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 37, 315-316.
- Avramova, Y. R., & Inbar, Y. (2013). Emotion and moral judgment. *WIREs Cognitive Science*, 4, 169-178.
- Critcher, C. R., Inbar, Y., & Pizarro, D. A. (2013). How quick decisions illuminate moral character. *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, 4, 308-315.
- Inbar, Y., Pizarro, D. A., Gilovich, T., & Ariely, D. (2013). Moral masochism: On the connection between guilt and self-punishment. *Emotion*, 13, 14-18.
- Van Wolferen, J., Inbar, Y., & Zeelenberg, M. (2013). Magical thinking in predictions of negative events: Evidence for tempting fate but not for a protection effect. *Judgment and Decision Making*, 8, 44-53.
- Inbar, Y., & Lammers, J. (2012). Political diversity in social and personality psychology. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 7, 496-503.

- Inbar, Y., Pizarro, D. A., & Bloom, P. (2012). Disgusting smells cause decreased liking of gay men. *Emotion, 12*, 23-27.
- Inbar, Y., Pizarro, D. A., & Cushman, F. (2012). Benefiting from misfortune: when harmless actions are judged to be morally blameworthy. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 38*, 52-62.
- Inbar, Y., Pizarro, D. A., Iyer, R., & Haidt, J. (2012). Disgust sensitivity, political conservatism, and voting. *Social Psychological and Personality Science, 5*, 537-544.
- Carnevale, J. J., Inbar, Y., & Lerner, J. S. (2011). Individual differences in need for cognition and decision-making competence among leaders. *Personality and Individual Differences, 51*, 274-278.
- Inbar, Y., Botti, S., & Hanko, K. (2011). Decision speed and choice regret: When haste feels like waste. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 47*, 533-540.
- Inbar, Y., & Gilovich, T. (2011). Angry, but adjusting? The effect of specific emotions on adjustment from self-generated anchors. *Social Psychological and Personality Science, 6*, 563-569.
- Pizarro, D. A., Inbar, Y., & Helion, C. (2011). On disgust and moral judgment. *Emotion Review, 3*, 267-268.
- Inbar, Y., Cone, J., & Gilovich, T. (2010). People's intuitions about intuitive insight and intuitive choice. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 99*, 232-247.
- Inbar, Y., Pizarro, D. A., & Bloom, P. (2009). Conservatives are more easily disgusted than liberals. *Cognition & Emotion, 23*, 714-725.
- Inbar, Y., Pizarro, D. A., Knobe, J., & Bloom, P. (2009). Disgust sensitivity predicts intuitive disapproval of gays. *Emotion, 9*, 435-439.

Other Articles and Book Chapters

- Inbar, Y. (in press). Applied moral psychology. In J. Graham & K. Gray (Eds.), *The Atlas of Moral Psychology*. New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- Inbar, Y., & Lammers, J. (2016). Political diversity in social psychology: Problems and solutions. In P. Valdesolo & J. Graham (Eds.), *Social Psychology of Political Polarization*. New York, NY: Taylor and Francis.
- Inbar, Y., & Pizarro, D. A. (2015). Explaining the influence of disgust on political judgment: A disease-avoidance account. In J. P. Forgas, K. Fiedler, and W. D. Crano (Eds.), *Social Psychology and Politics* (pp. 163-172). Hove, United Kingdom: Psychology Press.
- Inbar, Y., & Pizarro, D. A. (2014). Pollution and purity in moral and political judgment. In J. Wright and H. Sarkissian (Eds.), *Advances in Experimental Moral Psychology: Affect, Character, and Commitments* (pp. 111-129). New York, NY: Bloomsbury Academic.
- Critcher, C. R., & Inbar, Y. (2013). When does a defendant's impulsivity exculpate vs. incriminate? *The Jury Expert, 25*, 19-24.
- Inbar, Y., & Pizarro, D. A. (2013). Political Psychology. In H. Pashler (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of the Mind* (pp. 608-611). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Inbar, Y., & Pizarro, D. A. (2009). Grime and Punishment: How disgust influences moral, social, and legal judgments. *The Jury Expert, 21*, 11-23.

Service and Professional Affiliations

Associate Editor: *Collabra: Psychology* (2016-present)
 Editorial Board: *Emotion* (2012-present); *Social Psychological and Personality Science* (2015-present)

Member of the Society for Personality and Social Psychology Training Committee

Ad-hoc Reviewer: *American Journal of Political Science*; *American Political Science Review*; *Basic and Applied Social Psychology*; *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*; *British Journal of Social Psychology*;

Cognition; Cognition and Emotion; European Journal of Social Psychology; Evolution & Human Behavior; Evolutionary Psychology; Journal of Behavioral Decision Making; Journal of Consumer Psychology; Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied; Journal of Experimental Psychology: General; Journal of Experimental Social Psychology; Journal of Personality; Journal of Personality and Social Psychology; Motivation and Emotion; Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes; Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin; Personality and Social Psychology Review; Perspectives on Psychological Science; PLoS ONE; Political Behavior; Psychological Science; Psychology, Public Policy, & Law; Self And Identity; Social and Personality Psychology Compass; Social Psychology.

Conference Reviewer: Association for Consumer Research (2013); Society for Judgment and Decision Making (2013, 2016); Society for Social and Personality Psychology (2012, 2016).

Member: Society for Judgment and Decision Making; Society for Personality and Social Psychology.